This article was downloaded by: On: 25 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597282>

# Characterization of Residual Lignins Isolated from Unbleached and Semibleached Softwood Kraft Pulps

Jian-Er Jiangª; Hou-Min Changª; Shyam S. Bhattacharjee<sup>b</sup>; David L. W. Kwoh<sup>b</sup>  $^{\rm a}$  Department of Wood and Paper Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC  $^{\rm b}$  International Paper Company, Tuxedo Park, NY

To cite this Article Jiang, Jian-Er , Chang, Hou-Min , Bhattacharjee, Shyam S. and Kwoh, David L. W.(1987) 'Characterization of Residual Lignins Isolated from Unbleached and Semibleached Softwood Kraft Pulps', Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology, 7:  $1, 81 - 96$ 

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/02773818708085254 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02773818708085254>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

#### **CHARACTERIZATION OF RESIDUAL** LIGNIXS **ISOLATED FROM**

**UNBLEACHED AND SEMIBLEACHED SOFTWOOD KRAFT PULPS** 

Jian-er Jiang and Hou-min Chang Department of **Wood** and Paper Science **North** Carolina State University Raleigh, **IC** *27695-8005* 

**and** 

Shyam **S.** Bhattacharjee and David L. **V.** Kwoh International Paper Company Tuxedo Park, **NY 10987** 

## Keywords: Kmft pulp, Semibleached **kraft** pulp, Residual **lignins,**  Lignin-carbohydrate linkages, Cellulolytic enzymes, CeUulolytic **enzyme** lignins.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Residual lignins in **an** unbleached **and** a semibleached softwood (Pinus taeda **L.)** kraft pulp **were** isolated by enzymatic hydrolysis of polysaccharides in the pulps. After purification, the residual 1igni.m **mre** characterized. **A** dissolved **li- was also** isolated **from** the **alkalino** extraction spent **liquor by** acidification and characterized.

**Iesults** of **the** characterization indicate that **ukasivs**  degradatioa **of** residual limn in **kraft** pulp **occurred** during the first **two stages** of bleaching. The results also strongly support the previous **Wing** that. stable covalent linkages between residual **lignins** and carbohydrates in pulp *may* be the most probable **oause for** the residual lignins *to* resist delignification during kraft pulping and prableaching.

Copyright C 1987 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

A major drawback of a kraft pulping process is the relatively **high** residual **lignin** content **of** the resulting pulp. **This**  considerable amount of residual lignin cannot be further removed by extended cooking without causing severe degradation of the pulp. The cause(s) **for the** resistance **of** the residual lignin to delignification **is** not well **known** in spite **of** appreciable research **work** done **in** this field.

**One** plausible explanation **for** the encountered msistence **is**  that residual **lignlns** am covalently **linked** *to* carbohydratas in pulp. Results **of** recent investigations *on* the formation and stability of lignin-carbohydrate covalent bonds seem to support the existence **of** such covalent bondings in kraft pulps. Upon characterization of residual lignin preparations isolated from a bleachable grade kraft pulp by selective enzymatic hydrolysis *of*  the polysaccharides, **Yamasaki** et al.' **found** that the residual lignin **contained** substantial amounts **of** carbohydrates and **was**  degraded to a less extent than the kraft lignin. They suggested that the **muse for its** lower extat **of** reaction **and** its resistance to delignification during kraft pulping might be attributable to stable lignin-hemicellulose linkages. Benzyl ether linkages betwen lignin **and** carbohydrates am well knoun to **fom** during lignin biosynthesis, **2,** and the existence **of lignin** carbohydrate complex (LCC) in wood and isolated lignin has been investigated extensively<sup>4-7</sup>. **Lamasaki et al.<sup>1</sup>** suggested that non-phenolic aether type LCC may be stable under alkali pulping conditions. Using model compound, Taneda et al.<sup>8</sup> recently not only confirmed the stability **of** non-phenolic LCC under **alkali** pulping conditions, but also found that the stable a-ether bonds retard the hydrolysis of the adjacent B-ether linkages. The existence of lignincarbohydrate boads **in** unbleached loblolly **pin8** kmft pulp **was**  recently confirmed by Minor<sup>9</sup> in his methylation analysis of the carbohydrates in the residual **lignin** isolated by the enzymatic procedure similar to that used by **Tamasaki,** et al.'

Lignin carbohydrate **linkages may** also be **formed** during pulping. Fonaation **of** alkali-stable carbon-carbon bonds between

#### **CHARACTERIZATION OF RESIDUAL LIGNINS 83**

lignin and carbohydrate fragments **via** aldol condensation during alkaline pulping has recently been demonstrated<sup>10</sup>. Formation of stable lignin-carbohydrate ether bonds under alkaline pulping conditions has also been observed in model experiments<sup>11, 12</sup>. **Bowaver, based** on his finding that **mzym** lignins isolated **fror**  wood and kraft pulp gave similar results on methylation, Minor<sup>9</sup> **ruled** cut **the** probability of **the** formation **of** lignin-carbohydrate ether bonds during kraft pulping.

Factors other than lignin-carbohydmte linkages may **also** be responsible **Sor** the resistance o? the residual **ligaln** to delignification. By analyzing acidolysis monomeric products originating **froa 6-aryl** ether substructures in the residual lignin in a kraft pulp, Gellerstedt et al.<sup>13</sup> suggested that the rather low content of *B***-aryl ether substructures in the residual lignin** mlght be at least in part responsible **Sor the** observed resistance of the residual lignin to delignification.

In the work presented in this paper, residual lignins were isolated from unbleached and semi-bleached kraft pulps and were characterized for a better understanding of the chemical changes in **residual** ligrln during the first two **stages of** bleaching **and Sor (pining ama** insights into **the** caw **for** its resistance to delignification. For comparison, a lignin preparation was also isolated **from** the alkaline-extraction stage effluent.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Isolation and Purification **of** Residual **Ligniw**

Residual lignins were isolated from unbleached and semibleached (after chlorination and extraction) southern pine kraft pulps by selectively hydrolyzing polysaccharides with cellulolytic enzymes as described in the previous study<sup>1</sup>. The residual lignin from the unbleached pulp (hereafter referred to as RL-W) **yas** recovered as **an** insoluble residue after enzymatic treatment. **On** the other hand, **a** part of tha residual lignln **from**  semibleached pulp (RL-SB) became solubilized during the enzymatic treatment and **ua3** recovered **by** acidification to pH **2.5.** Thus **RL-SB waa** obtained in two fractions. one which *became* solublllzed .



Table 1. Lignin yield and nitrogen content.

a -- before purification<br>b -- after purification<br>c -- after purification

<sup>c</sup>- after purification, **based** on Klason lignin contents

(calculated **as** 0.15 tinas **hppa** number) of starting **pulps.** 

during the enzyme treatment **(RL-SB-I)** and one which remained as an insoluble residue during enzyme treatment (RL-SB-II). For comparison, chlorinated lignin in the alkali extraction filtrate (AEL) **uas also** isolated by acidification **and** precipitation.

All three residual lignin samples, RL-UB, RL-SB-I and RL-SB-I1 contained substantial amounts of nitrogan, indicating enzyme contamination. **Thus,** these **three samples wen** purified **and** the **results** are **given** in Table 1. **As** *can* **be seen,** the purification was only partially successful, especially for the two RL-SB fractions. **The** overall lignin recovered, **based** on lignin **in** the **original pulps, was relatively high, being over 80% for RL-UB and** *60%* for the two **BL-SB** fractions **combined.** 

#### **Klason Lignin and Sugar Composition**

**Klason** lignin contents and carbohydrate compositions of the four **lignin** preparations **are** listed in Table **2.** 

to that of a residual lignin preparation<sup>1</sup> purified by liquidliquid extraction. **The sugar** contents of these lignins are **similar** in magnitude

The residual lignins **RL-UB,** RL-SB-I **and** RLSB-I1 *are*  contaminated to **various** extents with the enzymes which **in** a crude fom contain **roughly 402** carbohydrates. Therefore, there **is** a possibility that the carbohydrates associated with the residual

Compound	RL-UB	AEL.		RL-SB-I RL-SB-II	Callulase <b>Enzyme</b>
Klason lignin	94.9	85.1	79.3	85.6	
Total sugar <sup>*</sup>	5.4	8.7	4.6	2.6	39
Arabinose <sup>**</sup>	1.3	0.7	3.7	3.5	13.3
Xylose**	10.0	2.5	21.8	21.2	9.2
Mannose <sup>34</sup>	5.7	0.7	8.1	7.3	17.7
Galactose <sup>84</sup>	71.7	58.3	50.1	37.4	45.5
Glucose <sup>84</sup>	11.3	37.8	16.3	30.1	13.9

Table 2. Klason lignin content and sugar composition.

:\*- **based** on oven-dried **samples**  - **based** *on* tot81 **sugars** 

**ligulna** are introduced by *onzpe* treatments. Bwever, the canpositloas **of** *the* carbohydrates **in** the residual **lignins** are quite sinilar to tbat **of those** found in the alkali **liguin.**  The alkali extraction lignin *(AEL)* has not been subjected to any enzymatic hydrolysis and contains **even a** larger amount of carbohydrates. **Thorefom,** it **is** unlikely that the carbohydrates are introduced by the enzymatic treatments **and** thus must **be** of vood **origin.** 

There **is** another possibility that **the** carbohydrates **am** bound to tho residual **lignlns** by strong physical adsorptioa due to their polymeric nature. Experimental results<sup>1</sup> show, however, that such adsorption is unlikely to occur between cellulosic fibers and **lignins.** Furthermore, non-selective physical adsorption **cannot**  explain the enrichment of galactose which exists either as singlesugar-unit side chains in galactoglucomannans or as 1,4-linked galactam **In** pectin. The latter **is** alkali soluble **and is** not expected to survive under alkaline pulping conditions.

Another possibility is that the residual lignins are covalently linked to **the** Carbohydrates in pulp **as** proposed by Yamasaki et al.<sup>1</sup> and Minor<sup>9</sup>. Formation of alkali-stable carboncarbon bonds between lignin and carbohydrates via aldol

condensation10, formation **of** stable lignin-carbohydrate ether bonds<sup>11</sup>, and high stability of benzyl ether bonds between lignin and carbohydrates8 have **been** observed under alkaline pulping conditions **or** *in* **model** experants. Therefore, it **is** most probable that the carbohydrates **are** covalently linked to the residual **lignins.** Such bonds **can** well explain the difficulty In complete delignification **of** kraft pulps.

It is interesting to note that galactose in all lignin preparations **is** the pradoainsnt constituent **of** the carbohydrates. While **linkages** between galactose and lignin were postulated to be dominant in the lignin-carbohydrate complex<sup>4-6</sup>. **Minor9** has recently shown that oligomers **of** 1,4-1inked galactan were covalently bonded to residual **lignin** in pulp. **A** particularly **high** content **of** galactose **was** also **found** in spent **liquors from**  both chlorination and alkaline extraction<sup>14</sup>.

It should be noted that the enrichment of galactose in enzyme treated lignin may be due partly to the fact that the crude cellulases do not efficiently hydrolyze the 1,4-1inked **galactan**  structure .

The relativoly large amounts **of** glucose present in **all samples** suggest that 1) pucose exlsta in **oligomers of**  henicelluloses linked to **lignin** via other **sugar** units rather than glucose, and/or **2)** glucose **is** linked directly to lignin. Although direct evidence confirming chemical linkages between lignin and cellulose **in uood has** not been **found,** they cannot *be* **ruled** out '- Addition **of ionized** hydroxyl groups in carbohydrate fragments to eporide intermediates **fomd by** alkali-assisted cleavage **of** 6-awl ether bonds in non-phenolic lignin units<sup>11, 12</sup> can bring about formation of lignin-cellulose linkages during alkaline pulping. The enrichwnt **of** glucose and the decrease **of** galactose In lignin samples isolated from the semibleached pulp even give more weight to the second explanation.

It **is** also interesting to note that the ratios **of** the amount of arabinose to that of xylose in all three residual lignin samples, ranging from 0.13 to 0.17, are close to the ratio in arabinoglucuronoxylans (0.13). In addition, both sugars are

Percentage	$R$ <sub>-UB</sub>	AEL.	$RL-SB-I$	RL-SB-II
$\mathbf{c}^*$	62.48	49.98	48.34	53.56
$\mathbf{H}^{\bullet}$	5.66	3.77	4.17	4.86
$o^*$	30.78	32.83	39.31	34.99
S	0.96	0.53	1.66	1.22
$c1$ <sup>*</sup>	ND	12.84	6.11	5.01
N	0.58	0.22	2.26	3.11
Methoxyl	14.88	2.59	2.47	3.71
2.40 Carboxylic		11.92	11.62	10.92

Table 3. Elaaental composition, **methoxyl** and carboxylic contents

- corrected **for** protein, **sugar, and ash** contents **<sup>4</sup> ND** - not detemlned

enriched **in** the preparations isolated **from** the semibleached pulp. **This implies** that **both** arabinose **and** xylose night also be covalently linked to **the** residual lignin.

#### chemical **Analysas**

The elemental compositions, methoxyl and carboxylic acid contents **of the four** liw **preparations are aven in** Table 3.

RL-UB are similar to those of typical softwood kraft lignins <sup>15</sup>. The extremely low methowl contents and the **high** aarboxylic conteots of *IEL,* **RL-SB-I and RL-SE-11** provide evidence that during prebleaching (chlorination and extraction stage), residual lignin in pulp underwent extensive oxidation and demethylation. **This**  conclusion is also supported by **IR** and **Nf4R** speatral **data.**  Elemental composition and methoxyl content of residual lignin

Elemental analysis showed that all lignin preparations contain sulfur. Sulfur contents of lignin samples **BL-UB, RL-SB-I**  and **IIL-SB-I1** *are* in the neighborhood **of** that *of* typical softwood kraft lignins<sup>15</sup>. Proteins generally contain 0.3-2.01, with an average **of** 1.01, sulfur16. The ratio of nitrogen to sulfur **of** the

**enzymes** used **was** found to **be 11.3.** Thus, amounts **of** sulfur contributed by *the* **proteins** present in the samples are **only** small fractions of the **total** sulfur. Therefore, the presence of sulfur **is** a **good** indication that the **owklng** liquor **bas** *already* been in contact and reacted with the residual lignin in the unbleached kraft pulp during **kraft** pulping.

**Considerable** amounts of **chlorine** exist **in** all isolated lignin preparations except **RL-UB,** indicating that residual lignin **has**  been subjected to chlorine substitution, in addition to oxidation, to **a** noticeable **degrea. The 8mount.s of** dilorine found in the samples are close to previously reported values<sup>14,17</sup>.

## CP/MAS <sup>13</sup>C NMR Analysis

**CP/MAS** <sup>13</sup>C **NPIR** spectra were obtained for samples RL-UB and RL-SB-I1 in the solid state. The spectmm of **RL-W is** typical Of kraft lignin with prominent resonances for phenolic (147 ppn), -tic **(106-140** ppm) **and** aliphatic carbons **(60-85** ppn). In addition, there is a tangible presence of aliphatic carbons in 30-**50** ppm *range.*  **A cooparison** of the spectra **of RL-UB** and RL-SB-11 shows that in **the** residual **lignin** after *CE* **sfages** (RL-SB-111, the nethoxyl and phenolic contents am significantly **mduced.** In the spectrum of RL-SB-II, the carboxyl resonance (170-180 ppm) is evident and the aliphatic **carboo** resonancea **(20-50** ppa) become relatively dominant; these could be partly due to contamination by degraded proteins **from** the cellulases. The differences **in**  methoxyl and carboxyl groups present in **RL-W** and RL-SB-I1 as indiatd by **the w)IR data** *agree* **with** chamlaal **analysis data In**  Table 3.

#### Molecular Weight Distribution

Holecular weight distributions of lignin preparations RL-UB, *AEL,* RL-SB-I **and** RL-SB-I1 are **shown** in **Figure 1. As a** reference, the molecular weight distribution of a lignin preparation isolated from a kraft black liquor is also given in the same figure.

It *can* **be** observed that **the** average molecular weights of the residual limn I&-SB-I and RL-SB-I1 **are very** similar to that of



**Figure 1.** Molecular Weight Distribution Curves

the alkali lignin AEL, but considerably lower than that of the **kraft lignin. This** indicates that **lignins AEL+,** RL-SB-I **and RL-3-**  11 were **all** extensively degraded to a similar degree during prebleaching.

higher than that of the kraft lignin, confirming that the residual lignin in the unbleached kraft pulp is degraded to **a** less extent than the kraft lignin as proposed by Yamasaki et al.<sup>1</sup>. This is than the kraft lignin as proposed by Yamasaki et al.<sup>1</sup>. This is probably due **to** the presence of stable lignin-carbohydrate linkages at the a-position, which **retards** the hydrolysis of the adjacent  $\beta$ -ethers as shown by Taneda et al.<sup>8</sup>. The average molecular weight of residual lignin RL-UB is

It is interesting to note that although all four lignin preparations *are* soluble in **aqueous** alkaline solutions and the average molecular weights of RL-SB-I and RL-SB-II are very similar to that **of AR, RL-SB-I** and RLSB-I1 could not be extracted **out of**  the pulp during **allrali** extraction. Slnce physical adsorption **is**  unlikely **as** discussed previously, the most masonable explanation **for** the non-extractability *of* RL-SB-I and RL-SB-I1 might **be** that they are **chemically** bonded to the carbohydrates. It **is** these chemical linkages that prevent tha residual lignins **from** being removed during pulping and prebleaching. The fact that some carbohydrates survived the enzymatic hydrolysis also supports this explanation.

#### **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

All four lignin preparations are readily soluble in aqueous alkaline solutions. **A11** the residual **lignins** contain sulfur in **an**  amount similar to that of typical technical kraft lignin.

appears to have characteristics **similar** to that **of** typical softwood kraft lignins. In contrast, much higher carboxylic acid contents and **much** lower **methoxyl** oontents **ware found in the** other three **lignin** preparations. The residual lignin isolated from the unbleached kraft pulp

and RL-SB-II isolated from the semibleached kraft pulp were found to **be sfmilar** to that of **the** alkali extraction lignin *(AEL)*  isolated **fm the** exttaction spent liquor and lower than that *of*  the kraft lignin. On the other hand, the average molecular weight **of the residual limb (Em)** isolatod **fro0** the unbleached kraft pulp **was** found to **ba** higher than that **of** the kraft lignin. The average **molecular** weights **of** the residual lignins **RL-SB-I** 

Appreciable amounts **of** aarbohydrates **wan** found in all lignin preparations. Galaotosa **ms the** most abundant ccmponcnt, with glucose and xylose being the other two major constituents. Lignin preparation *AEL* **has a** higher carbohydrate content than the others.

It *is* therefore concluded that the carbohydrates are most likely linked to the residual **Lignins** by covalent bonds **as** 

#### **CHARACTERIZATION OF RESIDUAL LIGNINS 91**

Proposed by **Xamsaki** at 8l.', and this chanical bonding **is** the most probable cause for the resistance of the residual lignin to further delignification during kraft pulping and prebleaching. The residual **Ugnins** are probably linked to the carbohydrates ninly **via** heaicelluloses, **In** prrticular, galactose units. However, direct linkages between residual lignins and the cellulose cannot be ruled out.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### **Preparation of Pulps**

Commercially-available chips (3000 **g** OD) of loblolly pine (Pinus taeda **L.)** uere treated in a one-cubic-foot digester equipped with a circulation pump and a three-pass heat exchanger. The chips were evacuated for 30 minutes and then the cooking liquor was sucked into the digester. The cooking conditions were: **19.5%** active alkali (as Na<sub>2</sub>0 on OD wood), 25% sulfidity, 4:l liqwr to **wood** ratio, cooking tcaperature **170oC,**  time to temperature 90 minutes, and time at temperature 110 alnutes. At the end of a cook, the content of the digester *ins*  **blown** into *8* blow tank. The pulp **was** washed thoroughly and screened **in** 8 labomtory **flat** screen with **an** eight-cut plate. Three *cooks* **of** pulp **ware** produced and **mlxed** after screening. average screen yield **ras 46.9%.** and the average rejects *0.9%.*  Kappa **number of** the aabined kraft pulp **uas 30.1.**  The **The** 

The chlorinated pulp **uns** obtained by treatment **of** the kraft pulp uith **an** 8queous chlorine solution. The chlorination conditions **wn aa follows:** available chlorine (on OD pulp) 6.05, pulp consistency **3.5%,** reaction temperature *2s0C,* and reaction time 60 minutes. The chlorinated pulp was washed with water until the filtrate became colorless and neutral. The average Kappa number or **the** chlorinated pulp **was 13.4.** 

Alkaline extraction of the chlorinated pulp was conducted under the following conditions: alkali charge (on OD pulp) 3.0%, pulp **consistmay 10.05,** reaction temperature **7OoC, and** extraction

the **120** minutes. **The** extracts uere collected by centrifugation, cambined, and filtered through a sintered glass crucible **of medium**  porosity. **The** filtrate **yw** acidified to pB **2.5** with HC1 and centrifuged. The precipitates **were wwhed** tdce **with** dilute **HC1**  (pH **2.5)** and **finally freeze-dried from** a water **suspension** *to* yield the alkali extraction **Ugnin (AEL).** The pulp **uaa** washed with water thoroughly. The average Kappa **number of the** seaibleached pulp **was** 5.0.

#### Cellulolytic Hydrolysis of Pulps and Isolation of Residual Lignins

**The experimental** approach **for** isolation o? the residual **lignins is shorn in Figure 2.** 

**The** pulps were beaten to **260** ?I **300** Canadian **Standard** Freeness in **a** laboratory Hollander beater, acording to TAPPI Method T **200**  *0s-'100,* prior to enzymatic hydrolysis.

**In** each **of four 500-ml flasks, 20** *g* pulp **(OD),** at a consistency of about **252, was** treated uith **320 ml** enzyme buffer solution, which was prepared by dissolving 1.2 g Cellulases TV ooncentrate (crude powder **fror** niles Labs., **Inc.)** into **320 ml**  buffer solution at pB **4.2 (6.56 g sodium** acetate and **4.64 al**  acetic acid dissolved in **4** 1 distilled water). After incubation **in** a **shaking** water bath at **U5OC for** three days, the hydrolysates uere removed by aentrifugation. **The** residues **from two flasks uere**  ccabined and placed **into** one *flask,* **and** then subjected *to* a second enzymatic treatment under **the same** conditions **as** the first treatment. After the second treatment, the residues from two flasks **uere** coobinad **a&aln** and placed into **one flask,** and treated with the **enzyme** buffer solution **under** tho **same** conditions. **The**  fourth treatneat was carried out by the **same** procedure **as** was the third, except that **only 0.6 g instead** of **1.2 g** of cellulases **uas**  used. **The** residues **from the** fourth treatment **were wished** tvice with dilute **HCL** (pH **2.5) and** freeze-dried from a uater **suspension**  to give the water-insoluble residual lignins.

**During** the suaoessive enzymatic hydrolysea **same** residual lignin in the semibleached pulp became soluble **in** the buffer



**Figure 2. Experimental Approach** 

solution. **This** water-soluble residual lignin **was** isolated by combining the hydrolysates from four treatments then acidifying to pH 2.5 with HCl. The precipitate was centrifuged, washed twice with dilute **HC1** (pH **2.51,** and finally freeze-dried. Thus, two lignin preparations were isolated from the semibleached pulp: fraction I **frao** the hydrolysatas by acidification **and** fraction **11, from** the insoluble residues.

#### E'urification **of** the Residual **Liguins**

**All** crude residual **lispins** were contaminated with *enzymes* to varying extents. **A** suitable purification method **was** thus investiptad. **Among** gel filtration, selective precipitation of the enzymes, proteolytic hydrolysis using various proteinases, and alkali-catalyzed hydrolysis under different conditions, the procedure described below was found to be the most effective one **and** mployed.

**The** crude residual **lignins were** dissolved in 1N **NaOH** in a lignin concentration of 1.0%, and stirred mechanically at room temperature for **two** hours. After centrifugation, the precipitates **were** washed with IN NaOH and then discarded. The washing and the supernatants were combined and acidfied to **pH** 2.5 with BC1. **The**  precipitates were centrifuged, washed with dilute HCl (pH 2.5), and then freeze-dried.

After the extraction, the residual lignins were treated with 2N NaOH, in **a** lignin concentration of **1.02,** at 10°C in **a** vater bath under a nitrogen atmosphere. After being stirred **sechanically** under these conditions for 48 hours, the solution was neutralized with acetic acid, and then transferred into a dialysis tubing with **a** molecular weight cutoff of 1000. The contents of the tubing **were** dialyzed against distilled water for 48 hours, dilute acetic acid **(pH** 3.0) **for** 24 hours, **and** then again distilled water for another 24 hours. During the dialysis, the distilled water or the dilute acetic acid **was** changed **regularly.** The purified residual lignina **were** recovered by freeze-drying the dialyzed solution.

#### Chemical Analyses

Eloaental **analyses,** carboxyl, **and** methowl content determinations were carried out by E+R Microanalytical Laboratory, **Corona,** *NY* 10189.

Elemental compositions were corrected for ash, protein and sugar contents. The elemental composition of protein was assumed **as** follows: 48.02 **C,** 6.52 H, 16.02 **I,** 29.5% **0l8.**  Elaaental conposition of pentosans YBS calculated **as: 45.451** C, *6.062* **H,**  48.492 0, **and** hexosans **w: 44.492 C, 61.72** *8,* 49.382 0.

#### Klason **Lignin** and Carbohydrate Contents

The **Klason** lignin content and carbohydrate content of the purified lignin samples were measured according to the alditol acetate procedure<sup>19</sup>. The hydrolysis survival factors and the slopes of the calibration curves **used are** given in Table 4.

#### **CHARACTERIZATION OF RESIDUAL LIGNINS** *95*



## **Table 4. Hydroiysis survival facton and slopes of the calibration curves**

## **Uolecular Weight Distribution**



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**Pituncial support from International Paper Company is greatly appreciated, Thanks** *are* **ah expressed to D. J. Cietek of Intmational Paper Company for conducting the gel permeation chromatography analysis.** 

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. **T. Yamasaki, S. Hosoya, C-L. Chen, J. S. Gratzl and H-m. Chang,** Proceedings of International Symposium on Wood and Pulping Chemistry **034, Jmc 1981, Stockholm, Sweden.**
- **2. K. Prcudenberg and G Grion, Cham. Bar.,** & **1355 (1959).**
- **3. K. Preudenbeg ud J. M. Huldn, Chem. Bar., 93.2814 (1960).**
- **4. C-W.** *Chcng,* **.On the Existem** and **Nature** *of* Covalent **Bonds** between Lignin **and Polymcchuldas in Spruce S.prrooG. PhD.** *Thuiq* **N.** *C*  **State Uniwrdty, Eale&gh, NC p. 100,1972.**
- **5.** 0. ErikssDn **and** B. *0.* Lingren, **Svensk Pappenti&., 832), 59 (1977).**
- **6.**  *0.* **Eriksson, D. A. L Goring and B.** *0.* **Lindgrcn, Wood Sci. TaclmoL,** & **267 (1980).**
- **7. J. L. Minor, J. Wood Chem. TeclmoL, z(l), 1(1982).**
- **8. H.** Taneda, **S.** Hosoya, J. Nakano **and** H-m. Chang, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Wood and Pulping Chemistry, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, **Aug. 26-30, 1985.** Posten p. **117.**
- **9. J.** L. Minor, J. **Wood** Chem. Technol., **5(2), 185 (1986).**
- **10. J.** Gierer and **S.** Wannstrom, Holzforsch, **3341, 181 (1984).**
- **11. J.** Gierer and **S.** Wannstrom, Proceeding of the International Symposium on Wood and Pulping Chemistry, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, Aug. **26-30, 1985.** Posters p. **27.**
- **12.**  T. Iversen and **S.** Wanmtrom, **Holzforsch, 19 (1986).**
- **13.**  C. Gellerstedt, **E.** L. Lindfors, C. **Lapierre** and **B.** Monties, Svensk Papperstidn., **z(9), 861 (1984).**
- **14.**  H-L. Hardell **and F.** de **Souse,** Svensk Papperstidn., **g(4), 110 (1977).**
- **15. K. V.** Sarkanen and C. **H.** Ludwig, "Lignins". Wiley-Interscience, **John**  Wiley *dt* **Sons,** Inc., New **York.** p. **672, 1971.**
- **16.**  E. **J. Cohn** and J. T. **Edsall,** "Proteins, Amino Acids and Peptides". Reinhold Publishing Corporation, **New York.** p. **341,1943.**
- **17. A. W.** Kempf and *C.* **W.** Dence, Tappi,=(S), **864 (1970).**
- **18.**  G. Gellerstedt and **E.** L. **Lindfors,** Holzforsch, **38(3), 151 (1984.)**
- **19. L.** G. Borchardt and C. V. Piper, Tappi, **53(2), 257 (1970).**